

ROD – figures with no GIS data

Figure A-2. Selected action plant site

Figure A-4. Selected action stormwater concept

FEIS– figures with no GIS data

Figure 2. Sulfide ore processing; general schematic

Figure 3. Oxide ore processing, general schematic

Figure 4. Typical transmission and pipeline easement (Tucson Electric Power Company 2011)

Figure 6. Process water schematic

Figure 10. Layout of plant facilities under the proposed action

Figure 11. Stormwater control concept under the proposed action

Figure 14. Phased Tailings Alternative plant site

Figure 15. Phased Tailings Alternative stormwater control concept

Figure 18. Barrel Alternative plant site

Figure 19. Barrel Alternative stormwater concept

Figure 22. Barrel Trail Alternative stormwater control concept (flowthrough drains not shown)

Figure 25. Basic Forest Service bonding process as supported by regulations and guidance

Figure 29. General geology of the project area. Adapted from Tetra Tech (2007b), Johnson and Ferguson (2007), and Drewes (1972a)

Figure 30. Geological cross section of the project area. Adapted from Tetra Tech (2007b), Johnson and Ferguson (2007), and Drewes (1972a)

Figure 32. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 5

Figure 33. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 10

Figure 34. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 15

Figure 35. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 22

Figure 39. Distribution of winds (percent) at the project site

Figure 41. Visibility at Saguaro National Park East

Figure 46. Comparison of sources of recharge and withdrawal sinks in mine water supply analysis area in the Upper Santa Cruz Subbasin

Figure 48. Subsidence in the Sahuarita and Green Valley areas, Pima County, February 2009 through January 2010. Adapted from ADWR (2010)

Figure 70. Depth of water in Upper Cienega Creek for period of record, 2001 to 2013

Figure 84. A project area landscape

Figure 86. Barrel Alternative regional visibility

Figure 87. Visual simulation of the Scholefield-McCleary Alternative as seen from viewpoint 2

Figure 88. Visual simulation of the Barrel Alternative (with revegetation over the span of 20 years) as seen from viewpoint 2

Figure 97. Hazardous materials storage—proposed action

Figure 98. Hazardous materials storage—Barrel Alternative

Figure 100. Fire occurrence within Rosemont Copper claim boundary on NFS land, 1988 to 2009