

**ARIZONA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT
HERITAGE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

Animal Abstract

Element Code: AFCJB13160

Data Sensitivity: Yes

CLASSIFICATION, NOMENCLATURE, DESCRIPTION, RANGE

NAME: *Gila intermedia*

COMMON NAME: Gila Chub

SYNONYMS: *Gila gibbosa*, *Tigoma intermedia*, *Tigoma gibbosa*, *Gila nigra*, *Squalius intermedius*, *Squalius niger*, *Squalius lemmoni*, *Leuciscus intermedius*, *Leuciscus niger*, *Richardsonius gibbosus*, *Gila robusta intermedia*

FAMILY: Cyprinidae

AUTHOR, PLACE OF PUBLICATION: Baird, S.F. and C. Girard. 1854. Descriptions of new species of fishes collected in Texas, New Mexico and Sonora, by Mr. John H. Clark, on the U.S. and Mexican Boundary Survey, and in Texas by Capt. Stewart van Vliet, U.S.A. Procedures of the Society of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, 7:24-29.

TYPE LOCALITY: Unknown

TYPE SPECIMEN: Unknown

TAXONOMIC UNIQUENESS: The *Gila* genus is a complex association of Cyprinid fishes inhabiting the Western U.S. and Mexico. Eight species known from Arizona (Minckley 1973). *G. intermedia* most closely related to *Gila robusta robusta* and *Gila robusta grahami* (Rinne 1969).

DESCRIPTION: Female Gila chubs may grow to 25.0 cm (9.8 in.) while males seldom reach 15.0 cm (5.9 in.) in total length (Rinne and Minckley 1991).

Minckley in 1973 described the following, *G. intermedia*: "Body chunky. Scales large, thick, and broadly imbricated, basal radii usually present. Lateral-line scales almost always fewer than 80. Dorsal fin-rays usually eight or fewer (rarely nine). Anal fin-rays eight or fewer. Pelvic fin-rays 8 or 9. Length of head divided by depth of caudal peduncle usually 3.0 or less. An abrupt, soft and fatty, nuchal hump rarely developed in large females of some populations. Total vertebrae 38-45, usually fewer than 42. Pharyngeal arch similar to that of *G. robusta*, teeth 2, 5-4, 2.

Color dark, over-all sometimes lighter on belly. Diffuse lateral bands rarely present. No basicaudal spot. Breeding males with red or orange on lower cheek, posterior parts of lips, paired fin bases, and on ventro-lateral surfaces (including caudal peduncle)."

AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION: May be distinguished from roundtail chub, *Gila robusta*, by chunkier body type. Length of head measured from terminus to posterior edge of operculum divided by the minimum depth of caudal peduncle is usually less than 3.0.

ILLUSTRATIONS: B&W photo (Minckley 1973:104)
Color photo (Rinne and Minckley 1991:24)

TOTAL RANGE: Historically found in headwater streams of Gila River drainage in Arizona, New Mexico and likely in Santa Cruz River system in Sonora, Mexico. It has recently been rediscovered in the San Pedro drainage in Sonora Mexico, where it had been absent in collections since 1857. Currently considered extirpated from New Mexico.

RANGE WITHIN ARIZONA: Currently known from the following drainages; Santa Cruz River (Cienega Creek, Sabino Canyon, Sheehy Spring), Middle Gila River (Eagle, Bonita and Harden Cienega Creeks and San Carlos and Blue Rivers), San Pedro River (Bass, O'Donnell and Redfield Canyons, Babocomari River and Turkey Creek), Agua Fria River (Silver and Sycamore [rare] Creeks), Verde River (Spring and Walker Creeks). Extirpated from Monkey Spring (Santa Cruz River), and Fish and Cave Creeks (Salt River).

SPECIES BIOLOGY AND POPULATION TRENDS

BIOLOGY: Gila chub were commonly found in association with Gila topminnow, desert and sonora sucker and longfin and speckled dace. Females achieve lengths of 10 in (25 cm), whereas males rarely grow longer than 6 in (15 cm). It is highly secretive, seeking out deeper waters near cover.

REPRODUCTION: Gila chub probably mature in their second to third year. Reproduction occurs primarily from late spring into summer in streams, but can extend into late winter in constant temperature springs. Spawning occurs over beds of submerged aquatic vegetation (Minckley 1973). Actively breeding fish become fire-red along ventro-lateral surfaces and the eyes become yellow to yellow-orange (Minckley 1973).

FOOD HABITS: Gila chub are omnivorous, preferring terrestrial and aquatic insects. At larger sizes they become piscivorous and have been found to consume speckled dace, *Rhinichthys osculus*, and probably other small cyprinids as available. Larger adults feed during evening and early morning hours. Juveniles will feed throughout the day on insects and algae, filamentous and diatomaceous.

HABITAT: Gila chub are normally found in the smaller headwater streams, cienegas and springs or marshes of the Gila River basin. They utilize diverse habitat types based on season and age. Adults have been collected from deep pools with heavily vegetated margins and undercut banks. Juveniles have been collected from riffles, pools and undercut banks of runs. In larger stream systems they utilize heavily vegetated backwaters for cover and feeding. According to Minckley (AGFD Native Fish Diversity Review 1995), they occur in marginal sites (refuges), and likes permanent sites such as seeps etc.

ELEVATION: Arizona records range in elevation from 2,720 - 5,420 ft. (830 - 1,653 m).

PLANT COMMUNITY: Broadleaf riparian vegetation consisting of cottonwood, willow, ash, alder, sycamore, walnut, and *Baccharis* spp. in association with submerged aquatic vegetation typical of cienega/marsh habitats.

POPULATION TRENDS: Populations are expected to expand and contract both seasonally and over time as climactic events affect aquatic habitat. Populations of Gila chub have slowly been disappearing. It was once found in Apache Creek, Duck Creek and San Simon Cienega in New Mexico but is now considered extirpated. They are also extirpated from three waterways in Arizona (Cave Creek, Fish Creek and Monkey Spring). Little is known about distribution of populations in Mexico. Population in Williamson Valley has been extirpated due to 1992 flood event. A population was introduced into Garden Canyon in the Fort Huachuca Mountains in 1992 by Henderson (AGFD Native Fish Diversity Review 1995).

SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT STATUS: LE (USDI, FWS 2005)
[LE USDI, FWS 2006]

STATE STATUS: WSC (AGFD, WSCA in prep)
[State Threatened AGFD, TNW 1988]

OTHER STATUS: State Endangered, Group 1 (NMGFD 1975)
Forest Service Sensitive (USDA, FS Region 3 1999)
[Forest Service Sensitive USDA, FS Region 3 1988]
Listed Endangered (Secretaría de Desarrollo Social 1994, and Secretaría de Medio Ambiente 2000)

MANAGEMENT FACTORS: Controlling the introduction of exotic fish to streams containing Gila chub is vital to their survival. Gila chub are currently co-existing with green sunfish, *Lepomis cyanellus*, in several streams; however, they have been extirpated from one location by largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*. Land management activities that affect watersheds, alter stream flow characteristics or affect the amount of perennial water in streams may affect populations of Gila chub, especially management activities that increase erosion and destroy stream banks.

Threats: aquifer pumping; stream diversion; reduction in stream flows; habitat alteration and competition by nonnative crayfishes; predation by and competition with nonnative fishes.

Management needs: identify and priority-rank management areas; protect watershed and stream flow and restore stream habitats for chub management areas; ameliorate effects of predatory and competitive nonnative fishes and crayfishes in chub waters.

PROTECTIVE MEASURES TAKEN: Portions of O'Donnell Creek, Redfield Canyon and Bass Canyon are included in the Canelo Hills and Muleshoe Preserves, managed by the Nature Conservancy (TNC). A portion of Cienega Creek at the Empire Ranch is managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) as a natural area. BLM Phoenix District is currently proposing translocations from Silver Creek to nearby perennial streams in the Agua Fria headwaters.

SUGGESTED PROJECTS: The Arizona Game and Fish Department is currently conducting a status review of Gila chub under contract to TNC to enable the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to determine whether it warrants listing under the Endangered Species Act. Basic life history and ecological research is necessary to better understand this species. Annual or bi-annual monitoring of Gila chub populations should be conducted to identify population trends and factors contributing to population declines.

LAND MANAGEMENT/OWNERSHIP: Streams containing Gila chub are owned and managed by a diverse assemblage of organizations including: BIA - San Carlos Reservation; BLM - Phoenix, Safford and Tucson Field Offices; FWS - San Bernardino National Wildlife Refuge; USFS - Apache-Sitgreaves, Coconino, Coronado, Prescott and Tonto National Forests; State Land Department; TNC - Canelo Hills Cienega and Muleshoe Ranch Preserve; and Private.

SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION

REFERENCES:

- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1988. Threatened Native Wildlife in Arizona. p. 27.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. In prep. Wildlife of special concern in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. 32 pp.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department Native Fish Diversity Review. 1995. Tempe, Arizona.
- Anderson, R. and P. Turner. 1977. Stream survey of the San Francisco River. Special Report to the New Mexico Game and Fish Department, Albuquerque. pp. 13.
- Bailey, R.M. 1970. A list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada. Third Edition. American Fisheries Society.
- Baird, S.F. and C. Girard. 1853. Descriptions of new species of fish collected by Mr. John H. Clark, on the U.S. and Mexican Boundary Survey, under Lt. Col. Jas. D. Graham. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadel. 6:387-390.
- Bestgen, K.R. 1985. Results of identification of collections of larval fish made in the upper Salt and Gila rivers, Arizona. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Endangered Species, Albuquerque, NM. pp. 1-7.
- Bestgen, K.R., D.A. Hendrickson, D.M. Kubly and D.L. Propst. 1987. Movements and growth of fishes in the Gila River drainage, Arizona and New Mexico. The Southwestern Naturalist 32(3):351-356.
- Bestgen, K.R. and D.L. Propst. 1989. Distribution, status and notes on the ecology of *Gila robusta* (Cyprinidae) in the Gila river drainage, New Mexico. The Southwestern Naturalist 34(3):402-412.

- Bestgen, K.R., D.L. Propst and C.W. Painter. 1987. Transport ecology of larval fishes in the Gila River, New Mexico. Proc. Desert Fish Council. 17:174-175.(Abstract).
- Cope, E.D. 1871. Recent reptiles and fishes. In: Preliminary report of the U.S. geological survey of Wyoming and portions of contiguous territories. Part 5: Special reports, edited by Hayden, F.V. 1871. pp. 432-442.
- Cope, E.D. and H.C. Yarrow. 1876. Report upon the collection of fishes made in portions of Nevada, Utah, California, New Mexico, and Arizona, during the years 1871, 1872, 1873, and 1874. Report Geography and Geology Exploration and Survey West of the 100th Meridian 5:635-703.
- DeMarais, B.D. 1985. Review of the taxonomic status of Roundtail Chub (*Gila robusta*) from the Moapa River, and a comparison with the Virgin River Chub (*Gila robusta seminuda*). Processed paper, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 1-10.
- DeMarais, B.D. 1986. Morphological Variation in *Gila* (Pisces, Cyprinidae) and Geologic History: Lower Colorado River Basin. Tempe, Arizona: Unpublished Masters Thesis, Arizona State University. pp. 1-85.
- DeMarais, B.D. and W.L. Minckley. 1991. Hybridization in native cyprinid fishes, *Gila ditaenia* and *Gila* sp., in northwest Mexico. pp. 79-85.(UnPub)
- DeMarais, B.D. et al. 1992. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. 89:2747-2751.
- Douglas, M.E., W.L. Minckley and H.M. Tyus. 1989. Qualitative characters, identification of Colorado River chubs (Cyprinidae: Genus *Gila*) and the "Art of seeing well." Copeia (3):653-662.
- Dowling, T.E. and B.D. DeMarais. 1993. Evolution of *Gila cypha*. Nature 362:444-446.
- Dudley, R.K. and W.J. Matter 1996. The effects of Green Sunfish on the distribution, abundance, and habitat use of Gila Chub in Sabino Creek, Arizona. Final Report, AGFD Heritage Grant # I93110.
- Feth, J.H. and J.D. Hem. 1963. Reconnaissance of headwater springs in the Gila River drainage basin, Arizona. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper, U.S. Department of the Interior 1619-H:H1-H54.
- Griffith, J.S. and T.R. Tiersch. 1989. Ecology of fishes in Redfield Canyon, Arizona, with emphasis on *Gila robusta intermedia*. Southwestern Naturalist. 34:131-134.
- Hamman, R.L. 1981. Hybridization of three species of Chub in a hatchery. Prog. Fish-Cult. 43(4):140-141.
- Hatch, M.D. 1978. Fishes and invertebrates. in Handbook of species endangered in New Mexico, New Mexico Department of Game and Fish.
- Holden, P.B. and C.B. Stalnaker. 1970. Systematic studies of the cyprinid genus *Gila* in the upper Colorado River basin. Copeia 109-120.
- Hubbs, C.L. and R.R. Miller. 1948. Correlation between fish distribution and hydrographic history in the desert basins of western United States. University of Utah Bull. 38:17-144.
- Huntington, E.H. 1955. Fisheries survey of the Gila and Mimbres Rivers drainages 1952-1955. Processed Report, U.S. Department of Fish and Game. pp. 1-56.
- Jordan, D.S., B.W. Evermann and H.W. Clark. 1930. Checklist of the fishes and fish-like vertebrates of North and Middle America north of the northern boundary of Venezuela and Colombia. Rept. U.S. Fish Comm. Part 2, App. 10 1-670.
- Jordan, D.S. and C.H. Gilbert. 1883. Synopsis of the fishes of North America. Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus. 16:1-1018.

- Kirsch, P.H. 1889. Notes on a collection of fishes obtained in the Gila River, at Fort Thomas, Arizona, by Lieut. W. L. Carpenter, U. S. Army. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, Smithsonian Institute, Washington, DC 11(1888):555-558.
- Kynard, B.E. 1976. A study of the pollution sources and the effects on the aquatic habitat of Eagle Creek watershed, Apache Sitgreaves National Forest, Arizona. Final Report. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Tempe, Arizona. pp. 82.
- Labounty, J.F. 1972. The status of the native fish fauna of the Upper Gila River, New Mexico. Privately Processed Paper 1-13.
- LaBounty, J.F. and Minckley, W.L. 1972. Native fishes of the upper Gila River system. *in* Symposium on rare and endangered wildlife of the southwestern U.S. Albuquerque: New Mexico Fish and Game. pp. 134-146.
- Lowe, C.H. (ed.) 1967. The vertebrates of Arizona. University of Arizona Press, Tucson. pp. 270.
- Madsen, M.J. 1935. A biological survey of streams and lakes of Tonto National Forest, Arizona. Special Report. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Fisheries, Washington, D.C. pp. 23.
- Madsen, M.J. 1935. A biological survey of streams and lakes of Apache and Crook National Forests, Arizona. Special Report. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Fisheries, Washington, D.C. pp. 19.
- Madsen, M.J. 1935. A stream survey of parts of the Sitgreaves, Tusayan, and Coronado National Forests, Arizona. Special Report. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Fisheries, Washington, D.C. pp. 6.
- Miller, R.R. 1945. A new Cyprinid fish from southern Arizona, and Sonora, Mexico, with the description of a new subgenus of *Gila* and a review of related species. *Copeia* 2:104-110.
- Miller, R.R. 1961. Man and the changing fish fauna of the American Southwest. Paper Michigan Academy of Sciences, Arts, Letters, Vol. XLVI:365-404.
- Miller, R.R. and C.H. Lowe 1967. Part 2. Fishes of Arizona. *in* The vertebrates of Arizona, edited by C.H. Lowe. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Minckley, W.L. 1969. Aquatic biota of the Sonoita Creek Basin, Santa Cruz County, Arizona. Ecological Studies Leaflet 15:1-8.
- Minckley, W.L. 1973. Fishes of Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix. pp. 104-106.
- Minckley, W.L. 1985. Native fishes and natural aquatic habitats in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Region II, west of the Continental Divide. Albuquerque, NM:U.S.D.I., Fish and Wildlife Service, Div. of Endan. Species, 1985. pp. 158.
- Minckley, W.L. 1987. Fishes and aquatic habitats. *in* Assessment of water conditions and management opportunities in support of riparian values: BLM San Pedro River Properties, Arizona. Project Completion Report, Denver, Colorado: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management Service Center, pp. 36-50.
- Minckley, W.L. and M.R. Sommerfeld. 1981. Resource inventory for the Gila River complex, Eastern Arizona. Final Report. USDI, Bureau of Land Management, Safford District Office, Safford, Arizona. pp. 570.
- Montgomery, J.M. 1985. Wildlife and fisheries studies, Upper Gila water supply project, Part 2: Fisheries. Final Report, for U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada VL -, pp. 1-127.

- Neve, L.C. 1976. The life history of the roundtail chub, *Gila robusta grahami*, at Fossil Creek, Arizona. Flagstaff: Master's Thesis, Northern Arizona University, pp. 1-46.
- Propst, D.L., P.C. Marsh and W.L. Minckley. 1985. Arizona survey for spikedace, *Meda fulgida* and loach minnow, *Tiaroga cobitis*: Fort Apache and San Carlos Apache Indian Reservations and Eagle Creek. in Report to Office of Endangered Species, Albuquerque: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Rinne, J.N. 1969. Cyprinid fishes of the genus *Gila* from the lower Colorado River basin. Masters thesis, Arizona State University, Tempe.
- Rinne, J.N. 1975. Changes in minnow populations in a small desert stream resulting from natural and artificially induced factors. Southwest Naturalist 20(2):185-195.
- Rinne, J.N. 1976. Cyprinid fishes of the genus *Gila* from the Lower Colorado River basin. Wasmann Journal of Biology 34(1):65-107.
- Rinne, J.N. and W.L. Minckley. 1970. Native Arizona Fishes, Part 3 - the minnows called "chubs." Wildlife Views 17:12-19.
- Rinne, J.N. and W.L. Minckley. 1991. Native fishes of arid lands: a dwindling resource of the desert southwest. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report RM-206. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado. pp. 24-25.
- Rosenfeld, M.J. 1981. Cytogenetic investigations of the Colorado River *Gila* complex (Ostariophysi: Cyprinidae). Unpublished paper 1-28.
- Secretaría De Desarrollo Social. 1994. Diario Oficial de la Federacion. p. 52.
- Secretaría de Medio Ambiente. 2000. Diario Oficial de la Federacion, PROY-NOM-059-ECOL-2000. p. 45.
- Smith, G.R., R.R. Miller and W.D. Sable. 1979. Species relationships among fishes of the genus *Gila* in the Upper Colorado River drainage. Proceedings of the First Conference on Scientific Research in the National Parks 1:613-623.
- State of New Mexico. 1975. State Endangered, Group 1. July 24, 1975.
- Stout, G.G., E.C. Bloom and J.K. Glass. 1970. The fishes of Cave Creek, Maricopa County, Arizona. J. Ariz. Acad. Sci. 6:109-113.
- Sublette, J.E., M.D. Hatch, and M. Sublette. 1990. The fishes of New Mexico. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque. p.347.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1979. The status and management for threatened and endangered fishes of the Coronado National Forest. USDA Forest Service, Coronado National Forest, Tucson, Arizona. pp. 16.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1988. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1999. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1983. Environmental Assessment-Proposed determination that *Gila intermedia* (*Gila* chub) is a threatened species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act with critical habitat, Washington:U.S. Department of the Interior.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1985. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Vertebrate Wildlife; Notice of Review. Federal Register 50(181):37960.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1989. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Notice of Review. Federal Register 54(4):556.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Candidate Review for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 56(225):58807.

- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Candidate Review for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 59(219):58988.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Review of Plant and Animal Taxa that are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species. Federal Register 61(40):7596-7613.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1997. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Plant and Animal Taxa that are Candidates or Proposed for Listing as Endangered or Threatened, Annual Notice of Findings on Recycled Petitions, and Annual Description of Progress on Listing Actions; Notice of Review; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 62(182):49402.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Review of Plant and Animal Taxa that are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 64(205):57538.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Review of Plant and Animal Taxa that are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 66(05):1300.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Listing the Gila Chub as Endangered with Critical Habitat; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 67(154):51948-51985.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Native Species that are Candidates or Proposed for Listing as Endangered or Threatened; Annual Notice of Findings on Resubmitted Petitions; Annual Description of Progress on Listing Actions. Federal Register 71(176): 53756-53835.
- Vives, S.P. Element Stewardship Abstract for *Gila intermedia*. Tucson: The Nature Conservancy, Arizona Field Office, 1990. pp. 1-12.
- Weedman, D.A. et. al. 1996, Status Review of Gila Chub, *Gila intermedia*, in the United States and Mexico, AGFD Non-Game Technical Report. # 91.
- Williams, J.E. and D.W. Sada. 1993. America's desert fishes: increasing their protection under the Endangered Species Act. Endangered Species Tech. Bull. 10:8-14.
- Winn, H.E. and R.R. Miller. 1954. Native postlarval fishes of the lower Colorado River basin, with a key to their identification. California Fish and Game, 40:273-285.
- Ziebell, C.D. and R.R. Roy. 1989. Distribution and angler use of the Roundtail Chub *Gila robusta* in the Upper Verde River. Number 89-1, Tucson, Arizona: Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Arizona, pp. 1-34.

MAJOR KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS:

- Bruce D. DeMarais
Paul C. Marsh, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona.
David L. Propst - New Mexico Department of Game and Fish.
Jeff Simms - Safford District, Bureau of Land Management.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Revised: 1994-09-12 (DAW)
1994-10-27 (MAL)
1995-01-29 (KLY)
1997-03-05 (SMS)
1997-11-14 (SMS)
2001-10-10 (SMS)
2002-12-04 (RHB)

To the user of this abstract: you may use this entire abstract or any part of it. We do request, however, that if you make use of this abstract in plans, reports, publications, etc. that you credit the Arizona Game and Fish Department. Please use the following citation:

Arizona Game and Fish Department. 20XX (= **year of last revision as indicated at end of abstract**). X...X (= **taxon of animal or plant**). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, AZ. X pp.